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IPCP Overview

Description of IPCP objectives and context as discussed at Dioxin Conference 2006, Oslo

Science and Policy

Initiative for an International Panel on Chemical Pollution (IPCP)

At the 2006 Dioxin Conference in Oslo, an Open Meeting was held on Managing risks of global POPs contamination, public and policy makers, that research in priority areas such as measurements of chemical properties and monitoring pro-
Format of the Session

❖ Three more general/conceptual talks
  ➤ IPCP objectives (M. Scheringer et al.)
  ➤ Management-science interface (N. Suzuki, M. Morita)
  ➤ Links between SETAC and IPCP (D. Muir et al.)

❖ Four talks from Africa/Latin America showing problems, needs, and possible role of IPCP
  ➤ Contamination of the Amazon (J. Torres et al.)
  ➤ Lindan usage in Ghana (S. Adu-Kumi, J. Dennis)
  ➤ POPs sources and levels in Latin America (R. Barra et al.)
  ➤ Implementation of the Stockholm Convention in East Africa (B. Kiremire et al.)

Objectives of the IPCP Initiative

❖ The International Panel on Chemical Pollution: Background and Perspectives (M. Scheringer et al.)
  ➤ Main objective: improve science-politics interface
    ➤ Provide more/better scientific support for politics
    ➤ Encourage political support for science
  ➤ IPCP global network of scientists, focus on scientific discussion of:
    ➤ Consensus and disagreement
    ➤ Uncertainties and knowledge gaps
  ➤ Main outcome: IPCP reports on priority topics
Organization of the IPCP

- **Bodies:**
  - Steering committee and chair
  - Working groups and project consortia
  - Advisory board
  - Institutional partners

**Diagram:**
- Steering committee (6 members) --> chair
- Advisory board
- Working group 1
- Working group 2
- Project consortium 1 ...
- Institutional partners
  - Partner 1
  - Partner 2
  - ...

Need for Management-Science Interface (I)

- What role is expected for IPCP as the interface? (N. Suzuki, M. Morita)
  - IPCP to cover all relevant aspects of pollution problems
  - Interface between „management concerns“ and „scientific concerns“ needs to be improved; IPCP to contribute with scientific input
  - Maybe no scientific forum exists that is expected to discuss the whole scope of chemical pollution
Need for Management-Science Interface (II)

What role is expected for IPCP as the interface? (N. Suzuki, M. Morita)

- Assessment and management tasks mainly by governmental organizations:
  - Screening-level risk assessment for new/existing chemicals
  - POPs convention-related issues
  - Prioritization among chemical groups
  - In-depth risk assessment for existing chemicals
  - Database compilation of chemical/toxicological properties

- Interface between research and assessment:
  - New and in-depth toxicological insights
  - More effective, accurate, and comprehensive chemistries
  - More effective technological development
  - Social framework for the global management

- Research outputs mainly by academic/research institutions:
  - Analytical Chemistry
  - Environmental Chemistry
  - Environmental engineering
  - Ecotoxicology
  - Health Science
  - Epidemiology
  - Molecular Toxicology
  - Mechanical Engineering

Relationship of IPCP to SETAC

- Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry (D. Muir et al.)
  - IPCP and SETAC have several similar goals
  - SETAC is a global organization of environmental scientists that hosts several global technical advisory groups
  - Collaboration of IPCP and SETAC suggested and both wanted and needed
**Contamination of the Amazon**

- Contamination and education problems in Brazil (J. Torres et al.)
  - Conflicts between environment and development
  - Far too little financial and political support for research
  - Links between health problems, poverty, scientific illiteracy
  - Development projects in the Amazon, deforestation, high pollution with DDT, mercury, ...
  - Very high fish consumption, high levels in humans

- IPCP should help to **establish international scientific collaboration**

**Lindan Usage in Ghana**

- Multi-Stakeholder Approach to reduce usage of lindane (S. Adu-Kumi, J. Dennis)
  - Lindane extensively used on cocoa
  - Mixed cropping: lindane also on many other crops; also used for fishing and hunting (!)
  - Six-step process of risk characterization and risk management

- **IPCP could serve as a forum**
  - to promote the dialogue between scientists and policy/decision-makers
  - to convey scientific ideas and current trends to scientists in developing countries, particularly those on the continent of Africa
**POPs in Latin America (I)**

- Research needs and strategies to support decision makers (R. Barra et al.)
- Most countries parties to the Stockholm Convention
- Problems:
  - **Priority chemicals not clear** (POPs, but also other pesticides; PAHs; mercury)
  - Some information on emissions, but large data gaps
  - **Illegal use** of POPs; dioxins, furans from open burnings
  - Understanding of transport pathways poor,
  - Almost no information about levels and trends
  - Absence of toxicological and ecotoxicological research

**POPs in Latin America (II)**

- Research needs and strategies to support decision makers (R. Barra et al.)
- **Role of IPCP:**
  - Scientific support in priority setting
  - Capacity building in
    - instrumental methods of analysis
    - design of monitoring programmes
  - Training of human resources through
    - exchange of PhD students, visiting professors
    - establishing postgraduate multidisciplinary programs related to POPs
Stockholm Convention in East Africa

- Preparation of National Implementation Plans in Kenya, Tanzania, Uganda (B. Kiremire et al.)
- Gaps in POPs management identified:
  - Re-packaging of pesticides, no proper labeling
  - No public information, education, and awareness
  - No search for suitable alternatives
  - Lack of waste disposal facilities
  - Insufficient capacity for tracking effects of POPs

Role of IPCP:

- For implementation of NIPs, **training/education is crucial** and will be best handled by a network of international experts
- **Summer schools** in African countries: IPCP could design contents, identify experts, search for funding
Summary

Problem:
- Scientific results inconsistent, not harmonized, difficult to use
- Political and financial support insufficient

Mission:
- Scientific support of politics
- Political support of science

Next Steps:
- Make IPCP more visible
- Establish collaborations with existing institutions
- Identify priority topics, establish working groups

www.ipcp.ch
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